, i 15

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JENNIFER UNDERWOOD, on Behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KOHL'S DEPARTMENT STORES, INC. and CAPITAL ONE, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION

Case No.: 2:15-cv-00730 (WB)

FILED

JUL 24 2019

KATE BARKMAN, Clerk
By______Dep. Clerk

FINAL ORDER GRANTING APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

AND NOW, this 23 day of July, 2019, upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement (the "Motion") and Memorandum of Law in Support ("Brief") thereto, the accompanying Settlement Agreement, the accompanying Declaration of the Class Counsel, the representations of all counsel during the Fairness Hearing, and all other papers and proceedings herein, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action and personal jurisdiction over all parties to the Settlement Agreement.
- Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. If this Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal is set aside, materially modified, or returned by this Court or on appeal, and it is not fully reinstated on further appeal, this Order shall be vacated and shall have no force or effect whatsoever.

ن^۲

رفر

- 4. The Court concludes that the proposed settlement is a fair, reasonable and adequate compromise of the PrivacyGuard Claims asserted by Plaintiff and the Class in this Action. The Court therefore approves the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the relief to Plaintiff and the Class as contained therein.
- 5. The Notice, the contents of which were previously approved by the Court, was disseminated in accordance with the procedures required by the Court's Preliminary Approval Order in accordance with applicable law.
- Plaintiff, the Class and the Defendants and their employees, agents, servants, and
 officials are bound by this Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal and by the Settlement
 Agreement.
- 7. The Court finds that the following nine (9) Class Members have timely and properly opted-out of the settlement, and therefore, are *not* bound by the judgment:

First				i		
<u>Name</u>	Last Name	Address 1	Address 2	<u>City</u>	State	Zip Code
Kyle	Lara	1201 E 8 th Ave.	Lot A2	Fort Morgan	CO	80701-3701
Norma	Nickoson	1702 Cameron Ct.	!	Lexington	KY	40505-1502
Mary	Chappa	1206 Rugby St.		Oshkosh	WI	54902-6338
		5206 Southfork				
Cynthia_	Knecht	Blvd.	<u></u>	Old Hickory	TN	37138-2083
Rosita	Smith	7 Roup Rd.		Montesano	WA	98563-9367
Mary	Meadows	3684 Pine Ln.		Birmingham	AL	35242-3037
		2200 Southview		South St.		
Carol	Schwartz	Blvd.	Apt. 106	Paul	MN	55075-5802
Marsha	Zint	2905 Woodhaven		Carrollton	TX	75007-5421
	1	7212 Constitution				
Brenda	Richwine	Hill Pl	<u> </u>	Indian Land	SC	29707-8005

8. The Court dismisses, on the merits and with prejudice, all PrivacyGuard Claims currently pending before it against the Defendants, as well as any employees, agents, servants, or officials thereof, belonging to the Class who did not request exclusion from the class in the time and manner provided for in the Notice ("Class Members"). As of the Effective Date of this

•

ŧ

Settlement Agreement, the Class Representative and the Class Members shall be deemed to hereby fully and irrevocably release, waive, and discharge the Defendants and their employees, agents, servants, and officials from all PrivacyGuard Claims.

- 9. The Court awards Class Counsel the reasonable attorneys' fees in the amount of \$600,000.00 and reimbursement of reasonable litigation costs in the amount of \$220,726.59.

 The Court further awards named Plaintiff Jennifer Underwood an incentive fee award of \$5,000.00.
- 10. The Court also awards the Settlement Administrator, Epiq Systems, up to, but not to exceed, \$62,000, for cost and expenses associated with the Class Notice and Settlement Administration.
- Agreement or the settlement set forth therein, and documents executed in furtherance of the Settlement Agreement or the settlement set forth therein may not be deemed or be used as evidence or an admission supporting: (a) the validity of any claim made by the Class Representative, Class Members, or Class Counsel; (b) any wrongdoing or liability of the Defendants or any employees, agents, servants, or officials of the Defendants; or (c) any falter or omission of the Defendants or their employees, agents, servants, or officials in any court, administrative agency or other proceeding.
- 12. The Settlement Agreement shall not be offered or be admissible in evidence against the Defendants or their employees, agents, servants, or officials, or cited or referenced to in any action or proceeding, except in an action or proceeding that is in furtherance of its terms or to enforce its terms.
 - 13. Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal in

Case 2:15-cv-00730-WB Document 286 Filed 07/24/19 Page 4 of 4

any way, the Court reserves continuing jurisdiction over the parties regarding the enforcement of the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The Clerk is directed to enter the Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal pertaining to the Defendants.

HON WENDY REETI ESTONE